

# Human Breast Milk-Acquired Cytomegalovirus Infection: Certainties, Doubts and Perspectives



Flaminia Bardanzellu<sup>\*</sup>, Vassilios Fanos and Alessandra Reali

Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Neonatal Pathology and Neonatal Section, AOU and University of Cagliari, Italy

**Abstract:** Breast Milk (BM) is the best source of nutrition for newborns, especially if premature. In fact, its beneficial impact on short- and the long-term neonatal outcome has was deeply described.

Unfortunately, BM could not be always so safe, especially due to the possible presence of maternal viruses that can be shed and transferred to the breastfed neonate. Among these, Cytomegalovirus (CMV) can potentially lead to a serious and acute illness, mostly in case of low gestational age.

ARTICLEHISTORY

Received: July 06, 2018 Revised: October 30, 2018 Accepted: November 14, 2018

DOI: 10.2174/1573396315666181126105812



- Some studies also report the association of CMV-acquired infection to an increased risk of structural and functional brain modifications and neurological impairment.

Due to these reasons, a strategy to remove CMV from BM with a minimal or absent impact on its beneficial components would be desirable.

Up to now, pasteurization, freezing, ultraviolet- C or microwave irradiation are the available techniques; they show different levels of efficacy and variable effects on BM composition, even if many studies are still needed to fully clarify these implications.

In this review, we provide an update of the current evidence about these topics. We focus on the factors promoting CMV shedding through BM; moreover, the possible occurrence of a severe disease in preterm neonates is also described. Finally, we investigate the potential effects showed on BM properties by the strategies that prevent or reduce viral transmission, therefore influencing newborns' health, and the new techniques which could show a relevant role in the next future, such as metabolomics.

Keywords: Breast milk, cytomegalovirus, preterm newborns, pasteurization, freezing, irradiation

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The beneficial impact of Breast Milk (BM) has been deeply investigated and demonstrated by many authors, especially on extremely low birth weight (ELBW) newborns in neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) [1-4].

Due to these reasons, BM represents the ideal nutrition source and exclusive breastfeeding is recommended by the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) until at least 6 months of post-natal life [5, 6].

BM exerts several short- and long-term positive effects. In fact, it has shown anti-inflammatory and anti-infective functions, it promotes immune system formation and can also support the development of immature organs [7].

BM also confers protection against prematurity-related retinopathy (ROP) and necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) [8]. Finally, BM has been related to beneficial effects in the successive phases of life. It can give protection against the development of obesity, diabetes and cardiovascular disease and improve newborn's neurological development [7]; regarding this, some recent data also demonstrated the association of BM with a higher intelligence quotient (IQ) and educational outcome at thirty years of age [9].

However, it is known that BM could not be always so safe, especially taking into account the possible presence of maternal viruses that can be transmitted to the newborn. For example, maternal Cytomegalovirus (CMV) can be shed in BM and transferred to the breastfed neonate. This acquired infection can lead to a serious and acute illness, especially in very premature infants. Therefore, a strategy to improve the removal of CMV from maternal milk without reducing or altering its beneficial components should be desirable [10].

In this perspective, many efforts have been already made and continue to be performed, through several experimental studies and clinical trials, in order to improve our knowledge on this topic [1, 6, 11, 12].

<sup>\*</sup>Address correspondence to this author at the department of Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Neonatal Pathology and Neonatal Section, AOU and University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy; Tel: 3204861531; E-mail: bardanzellu.flaminia@virgilio.it;

Due to the high prevalence of BM-acquired infection, inactivating virus concentration in BM could represent a beneficial option for preterms [6, 13, 14].

In this paper, we describe all the factors promoting CMV shedding through BM; moreover, we investigate the possible occurrence of a severe disease in preterm neonates and finally we focus on the available strategies to prevent viral transmission.

# 2. CMV INFECTION: EPIDEMIOLOGY

CMV is a ubiquitous infection among humans [8, 15]. It consists of a beta-herpesvirus which can persist lifelong in CD34+ precursors, representing a chronic infection [15-17].

Several genes of its genome can interact and take benefits from the human immune system and inflammatory patterns [15, 17].

An increased rate of CMV infection seems to depend on many factors; among these, a low socioeconomic status, social habits or customs, and origin in a developing country or in a region showing a high viral prevalence seem to have a potential role. Person to person transmission can occur through breastfeeding, care of neonates or young children, sexual contacts. In conclusion, the contact with body fluids is needed for CVM acquisition [15].

The prevalence of CMV-seropositive women in reproductive age seems to be lower in the United States and Western Europe (about 40-60%), compared with Asia and Africa (until 90%) [15, 16, 18].

In literature, BM acquired infection ranges from 5.7% to 60%, depending on the study and on the technique used for CMV inactivation [6, 19-21].

CMV is also associated with the congenital infection presenting the highest prevalence in developed countries, occurring in approximately 0.6-0.7% of the newborns [15, 22-24].

Moreover, CMV seems the principal cause of perinatal acquired infection in the world [6]; this risk is higher for ELBWs belonging to countries or ethnicity where a higher prevalence of CMV-IgG positive women is reported [1, 25], since the majority of such mothers undergo reactivation during lactation and shed the virus in BM without any appearance of infection signs [1, 13, 26-28].

# **3. CMV INFECTION: BRIEF HISTORY OF BREAST MILK TRANSMISSION**

In 1970s, the observation of some neonates negative for CMV at birth and acquiring it during the first month of life suggested the possibility of a postnatal transmission. At first, this was attributed only to maternal genital secretion shedding [10]. Since 1972s, Hayes *et al.* [29] and Reynolds *et al.* [30] searched CMV in BM samples belonging to CMV-seropositive mothers, both finding its presence in about 27% of these.

Successive studies found a prevalence of CMV in BM ranging from 13 to 50% of the analyzed samples, according to the kind of study and to the method used for viral detection [10, 13, 26, 31-34]. CMV-seronegative mothers did not shed virus in BM. On the contrary, among CMV-seropositive mothers, the percentage of viral shedding attested between 32 and 96% [10, 13, 26, 32, 33, 35].

BM is not the only source of CMV transmission. In fact, in 1979, it was described the first case of transmission through blood transfusion [16, 36]; this was subsequently and successfully avoided through the use of CMV-IgG-negative blood [16, 37].

CMV transmission to full term babies was initially described as a form of natural immunization, associated with minimal or absent signs of disease [16, 32].

Unfortunately, BM acquired infection can cause a severe disease in preterm babies [16].

# 4. CMV INFECTION: MOTHER TO CHILD TRANS-MISSION ROUTES

The transmission of CMV from mother to child is a key passage in maintaining the high human prevalence showed by such virus. It can occur through three routes: the first is transplacental route; the second is peri-natal route, during the delivery. Thirdly, transmission *via* BM is also possible [8, 15].

Therefore, the last two ways are not related to the acute illness or to the damage involving central nervous system (CNS) which are described after the occurrence of congenital infection [15].

The modalities of transmission are the following three, presented below and reported in Fig. (1).

#### 4.1. Transplacental Transmission

Transmission through this route occurs in about 35% of the pregnancies in which the mother undergoes primary infection. For the mother, this usually results in an asymptomatic infection and is detected only through CMV-antibody screening [15, 38]. The risk of transmission to the foetus increases according to the gestational age (GA). In fact, it results in approximately 20% during the first trimester of gestation and 75% if maternal infection occurs in the last trimester [15, 39-41]. Especially when acquired during the first trimester, it can lead to a severe CNS damage, developmental disability, cognitive impairment or cerebral palsy, sensorineural hearing loss or vision impairment [15, 23, 42, 43].

About 50% among the newborns who acquire congenital infection and show signs of intrauterine infection at birth will probably develop disabilities. Instead, among the asymptomatic neonates at birth, about 5-15% will undergo the same disabilities [15, 22].

A recurrent infection, due to reactivation of maternal latent CMV infection, is also possible during pregnancy [10, 15, 44]. Therefore, the transmission to the child can occur both if the mother has been infected previously or during the pregnancy; the first modality is related to maternal reactivation, even if she was immune at the time of conception. Congenital CMV infection is an important cause of neonatal morbidity and mortality [10, 15].



Fig. (1). CMV-mother to child transmission routes.

#### 4.2. Intrapartum Transmission

As previously reported, an intrapartum CMV transmission is also possible, due to viral elimination through genital secretions in CMV-seropositive mothers. According to Pass *et al.* [15], these babies eliminate CMV virus at 3-6 weeks of life [15]. In general, the newborns acquiring the virus through this route would not develop a serious illness, except if they are very preterm or Low Birth Weight (LBW) [13, 15, 45].

# 4.3. Breast Milk transmission

The first source of post-natal CMV transmission is through BM. This route mostly regards ELBWs [1, 8, 13, 23, 46-50].

Such modality of transmission represents the source of infection for the majority of the infants infected during the first year of life [15, 32]. This has a great impact on CMV seroprevalence in the population, due to the fact that infected infants can also shed the virus in their fluids and secretions and transmit it to caregivers or other babies [15].

Maternal immunity or latent infection does not prevent viral shedding, due to the possibility of viral reactivation [8, 13, 15, 16, 23, 51-53].

The moment in which viral shedding begins, its variations and the end moment can be highly variable among the subjects. All the mechanisms of this reactivation are not currently known [16].

However, it is well known that CMV DNA undergoes reactivation and therefore is detectable in BM of about 95% of CMV-seropositive mothers, according to polymerase chain reaction (PCR) detection [6, 13, 15, 16, 26, 28, 52, 54, 55].

CMV reactivation is promoted in case of a low immunological status, stress, interleukin II-6 stimulus, DNAdamage but also in healthy mothers during lactation [16, 32]. In this last case, viral shedding in BM is observable without systemic findings of viral load, as an example in blood or maternal genital secretions [16, 27, 56, 57].

Macrophages of BM are the cells responsible for CMV reactivation, even if the presence of infected cells seems not indispensable for viral transmission [16, 27, 58].

In conclusion, although the possibility to acquire CMV *via* BM is well known [6, 49, 59-61], not all the mechanism of viral reactivation in BM, the role of milk cells and free-virus in transmission have been fully clarified and described [13].

# 5. CMV INFECTION: KINETICS OF VIRAL EXCRE-TION

The kinetics of CMV viral shedding in BM has been deeply investigated and defined as a unimodal and self-limited process [6].

According to many authors, viral shedding would begin during the first week of lactation, reaching a peak at 4-8 weeks. Successively, from 9-12 postnatal weeks, it would reduce significantly [1, 6, 28, 49, 59] and it would end at 3 months of lactation approximately [16]. According to other studies, viral shedding would be detectable since the first day until nine months after delivery [10, 23, 26, 34, 62, 63].

According to Hamprecht *et al.* [16], CMV can be detected in colostrum since the third day of lactation.

The elimination of a high viral load, an early viral excretion and a long period of breastfeeding may represent risk factors associated with an increased CMV transmission to the child [6, 8].

Moreover, it was also detected an inverse association between CMV IgG avidity and viral shedding rate [16, 64].

Some reported data suggest that colostrum could not be infectious. In this perspective, pasteurization starting from the second week after birth until the eight postnatal could be an efficacious approach to reduce ELBW CMV infection *via* BM [1].

On the contrary, in the trial performed by Romero-Gomez *et al.* [6], 45.9% of 90 tested samples of BM was positive for CMV until 3 days post-delivery, with a viral load in colostrum comparable with mature milk 4 weeks postpartum; these findings result in agreement with the results of Chiavarini *et al.* [20] (54,4% of 57 colostrum samples positive for CMV DNA), suggesting that colostrum could not be so safe regarding CMV transmission [6, 20, 23].

Some BM components seem able to exert various effects on viral transmission. *i.e.*, IgA and antiviral lipids present in BM seem to be protective against CMV transmission; moreover, in some experiments, Vitamin A, monolaurin and lactoferrin inhibited CMV growth in BM *in vitro*. On the contrary, prostaglandin promoted CMV growth *in vitro* [10, 62].

Co-infection HIV + CMV determines an increased risk of viral shedding with cervicovaginal secretion and therefore a higher rate of intrapartum mother to child CMV-transmission [15, 65-67].

Moreover, viral CMV-shedding *via* BM is increased and potentially associated with EBV transmission too [16, 68].

In addition, CMV transmission from HIV-positive mothers seems to increase the risk of HIV transmission too, and it also accelerates its progression [15, 69].

A higher maternal CMV level in BM and a low number of CD4+ lymphocytes are risk factors associated with an increased rate of CMV transmission [16, 70].

# 6. CMV INFECTION: CAUSES OF EXTREMELY LOW BIRTH WEIGHT NEWBORN'S SUSCEPTIBIL-ITY

Postnatal infection is usually asymptomatic in full term babies [6, 8, 10, 13, 15, 23, 26, 32, 33, 71, 72].

This is due to the protection conferred by maternal IgG antibodies, passively acquired after 28 weeks of gestation. Very preterm babies do not have this protection, and therefore result susceptible to develop a severe infection both acquiring the virus through BM, both *via* cervical secretions during delivery [1, 10, 23].

Many authors report the occurrence of a severe disease in preterms which acquired CMV post-natally, especially in the most immature newborns [6, 10, 13, 23, 26, 73-76].

A symptomatic disease can also occur in case of increased susceptibility due to a deficient immune function of the mother, fetus or newborn [15]. Preterms born at GA lower than 30-32 weeks and/or showing a BW lower than 1000 gr present a higher risk of CMV acquisition *via* BM [3, 8, 13, 77-80]; in fact, a lower GA and a reduced birth weight are considered risk factors for CMV infection acquisition [1, 6, 15, 61].

# 7. CMV INFECTION: CLINICAL FEATURES

In healthy adults or child, the initial infection is generally asymptomatic. However, viremia and viral shedding through secretions can persist for months, or even years in some cases (viral elimination in urine, saliva, genital fluids). Moreover, latent CMV DNA persists in the host without signs of replication. The intermittent occurrence of viral shedding is possible long-life, especially during periods of impaired immune response. In fact, it reduces the ability in CVM replication-control [15].

Preterms, newborns prenatally infected, patients affected by congenital, acquired or drug-related immunodeficiencies, or even other immune diseases, are at risk of disseminating infections with a serious clinical manifestation [15].

Acquired infection in full-term newborns rarely causes a symptomatic disease [6, 14].

According to the trial of Hamprecht *et al.* [13], 52% of 33 infected infants presented neutropenia, thrombocytopenia or hepatopathy and in 12% of the cases showed sepsis-like symptoms [6, 13].

Very premature babies can show signs of a severe disease because of their immature immune system and because the transfer of maternal IgG begins at 28 weeks of GA. Moreover, in this category of newborns, acquired antibody's level decreases rapidly [10]. This gives more susceptibility to develop a serious illness after CMV acquisition [1, 3, 10, 13, 47, 73, 81-83].

Postnatally BM acquired CMV infection, in preterms, can be a symptomatic infection varying from a self-limiting disease to a serious and even mortal illness, especially in newborns showing less than 32 weeks of GA. Moreover, the infected infants show an increased risk of Bronchodysplasia (BDP) [1, 16, 84-86].

The clinical presentation of acquired CMV-symptoms in preterm newborns is currently indicated with the expression sepsis-like symptoms; these are showed by about 13,7-15% of the preterms (this percentage reached 80% among the newborns with a GA lower than 26 weeks) and include, as reported through several trials, respiratory impairment, pneumonia, apnea, bradycardia, hepatosplenomegaly, hepatitis, gray pallor, distending bowels, haematological changes as neutropenia and thrombocytopenia and/or absolute lymphocytosis, cholestasis, impaired liver function and increase in liver enzymes, a mild increase in C-reactive Proteins (CRP) [6, 8, 10, 13, 15, 16, 21, 26, 33, 45, 76], and even death [10].

These symptoms are mostly self-limited, without showing the impact on neonatal outcome. It has not been reported an increase in intracranial hemorrage, periventricular leukomalacia, retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) and NEC rate [16]. However, in few cases and small case series, a more serious illness has been described and even death in VLBW, pneumonia requiring ventilation, hepatitis, NEC, gastrointestinal involvement, bloody diarrhea, bowel occlusion and volvulus. In some cases, antiviral treatment has been needed [3, 16, 45, 87-90].

In the multicenter study of Balcells *et al.* [23], the newborns with acquired CMV infection resulted smaller and showed a lower GA.

The percentage of clinical manifestation's occurrence after a post-natal infection varies from 0% to 75% depending on the GA of the infected newborn [8, 13, 21, 45, 59, 91].

Moreover, infected preterms may be at risk of long-term sequelae, but few studies are available and this correlation has been not clarified yet [8].

In conclusion, ELBWs infants show the highest risk of developing a severe post-natal CMV infection and probably also long-term sequelae [1, 26, 46, 81].

# 8. CMV INFECTION: DIAGNOSIS

In the congenital infection, diagnosis is allowed by the detection of CMV in urine samples within the first three weeks of life. This can be made both through the detection of viruria (by culturing) (viruria) or through PCR (DNAuria) [23, 92]. Moreover, congenital infection can be defined as CMV IgM positivity within the first week of life [8], although this method results less sensitive, due to the frequent occurrence of false negative [23].

In the acquired infection, the screening performed with real-time PCR (rtPCR), which amplifies DNA from urine, shows more sensitivity and specificity if compared with cell culturing [23, 93].

The acquired infection is defined as a positive CMV-DNA PCR on urine sample (or CMV viruria) [8], after a previous test performed in the first 14 days of life and which gave a negative result [1, 6, 23].

The lowest limit of detection attests at 360 copies/ml [1, 94]. RtPCR on BM, according to Romero Gomez *et al.* [6], could help to find samples from mothers showing a high viral shedding; therefore, this could help to decide if BM should undergo any treatment (*i.e.* freezing), especially under 28 weeks of GA.

# 9. CMV IN BREAST MILK: METHODS FOR INAC-TIVATION

Several strategies have been developed and deeply investigated to inactivate CMV in BM, prior to use it to fed neonates [6].

Information about the available BM treatment techniques to reduce CMV transmission are not fully clarified. More studies would be needed to determine the best strategy to eliminate the risk of contagious, leaving BM's properties unmodified [1].

The actual knowledge on this topic is reported in the following paragraphs and synthesized in Table 1.

# 9.1. Pasteurization

According to several authors, both short-term and longterm pasteurization result efficacious in preventing CMV transmission. However, only the first method allows the conservation of nutritional properties and immunological components of BM, such as enzymes, hormones, growth factors and CMV-specific antibodies [16, 28, 90, 95].

# 9.1.1. Long-term Pasteurization

Romero-Gomez *et al.* [6], Bryant *et al.* [10] and Lyoid and colleagues [95, 96] define long-term pasteurization as the heating of BM for 30 min at 62,5 °C, while Hamprecht *et al.* [16] refer to the heat treatment at 63 °C for 30 minutes.

All the authors agree with the observation that this method can produce a microbiologically safe product, completely inactivating CMV, degrading CMV-RNA [6, 28, 97] and reducing bacterial loads by 10<sup>5</sup> colony forming units per mL [96]. Unfortunately, it alters BM composition reducing its nutritional, immunological and endocrinological properties [6, 23, 98, 99]. It has also been shown to damage immunoglobulins and lymphocytes [10].

# 9.1.2. Short-term Pasteurization

There is not a univocal definition of short-term pasteurization. Some authors consider it the heat treatment of BM at 70 °C for 5 minutes [10]. Others refer to the treatment at 72 °C for 10 seconds [1, 100].

Finally, it can also be defined as the treatment at 62  $^{\circ}$ C for 5 seconds [16].

However, it seems to be promising due to its efficacy in inactivating CMV in BM without modifying its nutritional and immunological properties. More studies are needed to fully clarify these observations [10].

#### 9.2. Freezing

Many authors affirm that freeze-thawing at -20 °C for a time varying from 18 hours to 10 days can reduce viral concentration, without completely eliminating it. Differently, from pasteurization, it does not modify BM constituents and beneficial nutritional and immunological properties [3, 10, 16, 23, 28, 101-103].

However, some *in vitro* studies performed freezing BM at  $-20^{\circ}$ C for several days, sometimes demonstrated even the complete elimination of CMV, but there are still discordant results in this field [1, 6, 28, 61, 97]. Moreover, these studies confirmed that this technique does not induce alterations in BM composition [6, 98, 99].

The recent multicenter study performed by Balcells *et al.* [23] evidenced as milk freezing reduces the CMV infection rate; this technique revealed a useful strategy to prevent BM transmission with a reduction rate of about 71% if started within the first week of lactation. However, it does not completely eliminate BM infectivity and in their study, some patients acquired CMV even if fed with frozen BM; in these cases, freezing was started after the first week of lactation [20, 23]. They conclude underlying the needs of more studies to completely understand these implications.

Tecnique	Definition	Efficacy on CMV Inactivation	Effect on BM Components
Long-term Pasteurization	Heating: • 62,5 °C for 30 min [6, 10, 95, 96] • 63 °C for 30 min [16]	It induces the complete CMV eradication. Maximally efficacious in preventing CMV transmission [1, 6, 10, 16, 28, 90, 95-97, 106]	It modifies the composition reducing nutritional, immunological and endocrinological properties [1, 6, 23, 28, 98, 99, 107], damaging Ig and lym- phocytes [10], lactoferrin, lysozyme and secre- tory IgA, bioactive factors, hormons [96]
Short-term Pasteurization	Heating: • 70 °C for 5 min [10] • 72 °C for 10 sec [1,100] • 62 °C for 5 sec [16]	It seems promising in inactivating CMV and preventing its transmission [10, 16, 28, 90, 95]	It preserves nutritional and immunological com- ponents, enzymes, hormones, growth factors and CMV-specific Ab [10, 16, 28, 90, 95]
Freezing	Freeze thawing: • - 20°C for a time ranging from 18 h to 10 d [3, 10, 16, 23, 28, 101-103]	It can reduce viral concentration, without completely eliminating it [1, 3, 10, 16, 20, 23, 28, 101-103, 108]. <i>In vitro</i> studies (several days) showed the complete CMV elimination, but there are still discordant results in this field [1, 6, 28, 61, 97]	It does not modify composition and nutritional and immunological properties [1, 3, 6, 10, 16, 23, 28, 98, 99, 101-103, 108]
UV- Irradiation	• Uv at 250 nm for several time intervals (10-50 sec) at the dis- tance of 1, 2, 3, 4 cm from the light [96]	It seems promising in eradicating CMV [16, 96, 104] However, some CMV related proteins are not fully eradicated and that a little viral gene transcription can still occur [96]	It seems to preserve beneficial components, such as lactoferrin, lysozyme and secretory IgA [96]
Microwave- Irradiation	• High power (750 W) • Low power (500 W) for 30 sec [104]	It seems promising in eradicating CMV [16, 96, 104] • High power irradiation induced the complete inacti- vation of CMV. • Low power irradiation showed a failure rate of 13% [104]	Few studies investigate its effects on immu- nological, bioactive, cellular and nutritive com- ponents. It would induce a little decrease in the total con- tent of Ig, without modifying fatty acids' compo- sition [104, 105]

Table 1. Description of the available techniques, its efficacy in CMV inactivation and action on breast milk comp
---

CMV = Cytomegalovirus; BM = Breast milk; min = minutes; sec = seconds; d = days; Ig = immunoglobulins; Ab = antibodies; Uv = ultraviolet; nm = nanometers; cm = centimeters; W = watts.

# 9.3. Novel Techniques

Ultraviolet- C irradiation and Microwave irradiation are two recently evaluated-techniques, which seem to be promising in eradicating CMV from BM [16, 96, 104].

Ultraviolet- C (UV-C) irradiation at 250 nm seems to preserve components such as lactoferrin, lysozyme and secretory IgA, instead of long-term pasteurization. However, after this treatment, it seems that some CMV related proteins are not fully eradicated and that a little viral gene transcription could still occur. The meaning and the implications of this finding have still to be clarified [96].

Moreover, in the study of Lyoid *et al.* [96], UV-C irradiation was evaluated for several time intervals at the distance of 1, 2, 3 and 4 cm from UV light; the reported data suggest the necessity to define, through more studies, the appropriate dose and conditions for an optimal UV-C irradiation.

Microwave irradiation has been recently evaluated in a small trial by Ben-Shoshan and colleagues [104]; they compared low power- (500 W) and high power-irradiation techniques (750 W), performed for 30 seconds. In their results, high power-irradiation caused the complete inactivation of CMV, instead of the low power-method, which showed a failure rate of 13%.

These authors affirm that the high power-irradiation may represent a valid alternative to the other available methods, even in there are few studies investigating the effects produced by this technique on BM immunological, bioactive, cellular and nutritive components [104]. According to some authors, it would induce a little decrease in the total content of immunoglobulin, without modifying fatty acids' composition. However, up to now, this topic has been poorly studied [104, 105].

In conclusion, many trials are needed to clarify the effects of these novel techniques on BM properties [16, 96, 104].

#### 9.4. Trial Comparing the Described Techniques

Two techniques were compared in the retrospective study conducted by Soo Yoo *et al.* [1] on 385 ELBWs. The authors evaluated the efficacy of BM pasteurization (63 °C for 30 min) versus freeze-thawing in the prevention of CMV transmission.

The results obtained confirmed that the first technique allows the complete CMV eradication [1, 97, 106, 28]. Unfortunately, it severely impairs BM good properties and benefits [1, 28, 107].

On the contrary, the second one preserves nutritional and immunological features of BM but does not completely eradicate CMV and therefore viral transmission [1, 61, 108].

In the same study, the risk of CMV transmission *via* frozen BM depended on the total quantity of frozen milk administered [1, 79]. Eight percent of the infants fed with frozen milk for more than 3 days acquired CMV infection. However, the global CMV transmission was reduced to almost 6-22% [52, 59, 82, 109].

BM irradiation was compared with freezing in a small trial performed by Ben-Shoshan *et al.* [104]. They described a lower CMV elimination rate using the 1-day freezing technique (20%) and 3-day freezing (7%), instead of high-power irradiation.

# **10. ACQUIRED CMV INFECTION: OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

Although acquired infection was thought, as first, not associated with long-term sequelae [10, 110, 111], some studies have reported the increased risk of a neurological impairment [10, 37, 112].

Up to now, the outcome of the early post-natal acquired CMV infection on neurodevelopment and hearing function is still not fully defined, although it has been studied by several authors [23, 113].

In some studies, long-term effects have not been reported; on the contrary, in other case series, a higher risk of sensorineural deafness or long-term neurologic functions impairment has been described [23].

It seems that a severe post-natal acquired infection causing symptoms and clinical manifestations could more likely lead to an impairment in the long-term prognosis, especially taking into account its occurrence in the critical and susceptible category of premature [23, 64].

Below we report some interesting studies on this topic, which result useful to improve our current knowledge on the outcome of this infection.

There are few studies investigating long-term outcome associated with CMV acquired the infection in VLBWs and ELBWs, giving controversial results [1, 8].

In the retrospective study of Soo Yoo *et al.* [1], a follow up at 2 years of corrected age has been evaluated. In their sample, a high proportion of the symptomatic preterms developed severe consequences, such as a moderate or severe BDP.

In the same study, the growth parameters and neurodevelopmental status were not different if compared with a control group. Moreover, no hearing loss was reported [1, 21].

In the study of Jim *et al.* [8], involving VLBW newborns which acquired CMV infection *via* BM, the outcome at 12 and 24 months of corrected age has been evaluated. In this group, anthropometry, clinical outcome including the incidence of BDP, intraventricular hemorrage (IVH), periventricular leucomalacia (PVL), ROP, cerebral palsy (CP) and even length of hospitalisation, psychomotor or mental development did not result impaired if compared with a control

Other follow-up studies evidenced a comparable outcome among post-natal CMV infected and noninfected preterm infants [16, 73, 110, 114, 115].

evaluated babies.

According to these studies, CMV acquired infection does not seem to modify clinical outcome and in particular neurocognitive development, sensorineural hearing function or children growth [8].

However, contrasting results have been reported by other authors. In fact, neurological sequelae have been described after three years of age in some infected preterms [112, 116]. More recently, other authors reported an impaired cognitive function and also neurological sequelae in some adolescents, ex-preterms, who early acquired a symptomatic CMV infection [117]. Therefore, current data cannot exclude the possibility of a negative impact on longterm outcome [16].

It must also be considered the presence of several confounding factors that might influence the results of these long-term to follow up. For example the occurrence of comorbidities, different strategies of treatment, parental cares, a low socio-economic status and even the outcome related to prematurity itself [8].

# **10.1. Magnetic Resonance Image Studies**

Some findings of not completely clear meaning have also been reported analyzing cerebral Magnetic Resonance Image (MRI) of some ex-preterm infants, infected by CMV in the early life. In some of these patients, a different diffusion pattern involving the occipital regions has been described during functional studies, if compared with healthy controls. However, their neurodevelopmental outcome after one year of life was comparable [16, 118].

Moreover, structural and functional studies detected some differences. The volume of several areas resulted in less developed in infected subjects, especially grey matter. This has been confirmed also in long-term evaluations performed during adolescence. A difference has also been reported in the activation of the left hippocampus and right anterior cingulated cortex during performances regarding the language [16, 119].

In conclusion, even if a clear pattern of brain damage has not been detected and more studies are needed to correlate an early acquired CMV infection to long-term structural and functional brain alterations, these cannot be excluded up to now [16].

# **11. FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**

#### 11.1. Prevention

The prevention of post-natal acquired infection should regard both an eventual prevention of maternal infection and the reduction of maternal transmission [15]. Because the transmission due to maternal reinfection/recurrent infections varies from 0,1-1% to higher rates in countries with a higher CMV prevalence, an efficacious strategy to prevent mother to child transmission could be therefore the prevention of maternal primary infection [15].

To reduce the infection occurrence, some measures would be introduced or improved, such as washing hands, reducing the contact with babies' fluids, cleaning surfaces after the contact with body fluids, the use of condoms to reduce sexually transmitted infections [15, 120].

Regarding the prevention of transmission, another proposed strategy was the use of donated BM from seronegative mothers; unfortunately, this is usually constituted by mature milk, whose composition is different from the BM produced by preterm's mothers and could result inadequate for these neonates [10].

#### **11.2 Metabolomics**

Interesting data were recently reported with metabolomic studies, both investigating amniotic fluid (AF) [121, 122] and neonatal or maternal urine; in fact, metabolome seems a very promising instrument in several obstetric diseases, since it has been shown the ability to describe the complex interaction between mother and fetus, also taking into account the central role played by the placenta [123].

According to the study of Fattuoni *et al.*, this evaluation allowed to discriminate AF belonging to CMV infected pregnant women who had transmitted the virus to the foetus, comparing it from the samples collected from infected women who resulted 'non-transmitters' of the same virus. The analyzed metabolites, in these samples, have also shown different profiles if compared with those of healthy pregnant women. Finally, different concentrations of these mediators in AF were also detected between symptomatic and asymptomatic infected newborns, potentially allowing the early diagnosis of infected newborns. In this perspective, precocious and specific biomarkers could be early detected and a targeted therapeutic approach may be performed, potentially improving the outcome of congenital or acquired infection and therefore avoiding the CMV-related sequelae [121-123].

#### 11.3. Vaccination

Vaccines are under development but are not still available. A trial regarded a vaccination with a CMV viral Glycoprotein B which showed an efficacy of 50%. However, the protective effect reduced during the time [15].

# **12. CURRENT MANAGEMENT**

Currently, a standardized algorithm of decision to perform the treatment of CMV-IgG seropositive mothers of premature neonates does not exist, and each NICU follows its recommendations, according to the national decisionmaking address, which should take into account the beneficial effects of breastfeeding extremely preterm newborns but even the risks connected with the possible viral transmission.

According to the AAP, a decision of BM treatment before administration should be taken especially for such premature babies born less than 32 weeks of GA from CMV- IgG positive mothers; these guidelines recognize long- and short-term pasteurization as safe techniques, due to their efficacy in viral inactivation, while freezing results a technique reducing but not eliminating viral load, according to the evidence reported in such review [124].

According to the Spanish group of pediatric infectivology, pasteurization of BM must be performed only on donor milk in human milk banks, since it reduces its beneficial properties. Moreover, in these guidelines, BM from CMV-IgG seropositive mothers should be frozen in premature newborns showing LBW, after the first week of lactation and with the highest efforts to not interfere with lactation. The authors conclude that freezing does not eliminate viral transmission but may reduce cases of severe infection and should also be considered in case of BM acquired infection in order to reduce viral shedding and improve infected newborn outcome [125].

In Sweden, according to Omarsdottir and co-workers, in many units, newborns showing less than 32 weeks of GA are initially fed with fresh milk and, after the first days of life, if the mother results seropositive for CMV-IgG, frozen BM is administered, while pasteurization is avoided. However, even these authors affirm that a consensus should be needed, especially to protect extremely preterm neonates [126].

Moreover, in case of maternal CMV-IgG seropositivity, The Committee for Nutrition of the Austrian Society of Paediatrics and Adolescent Medicine recommends BM pasteurization up to GA of 35 weeks, the French Society for the Safety of Nutrition recommend fresh BM only after 32 weeks of GA (above 1500 g), the guidelines of the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare recommend freezing in neonates born before than 32 GA [21].

Finally, Picaud and working group of the French Neonatal Society on fresh human milk use in preterm infants, recently reviewed the available literature and guidelines from many countries and concluded, in accordance with the last recommendations, that newborns showing less than 28 weeks of GA or weighting less than 1000 gr should be fed with pasteurized BM up to 31+6 weeks of GA, both in case of positive or unknown maternal detection of CMV-IgG. In their opinion, this practice can be started after three days of lactation, due to a relative safety of colostrum [127].

In some cases, newborns cannot be fed with their mothers' milk, due to a lacking lactation in preterm delivering mothers or to such conditions contraindicating breastfeeding with the milk of the newborns' own mothers. In this perspective, the efforts made by human milk banks may result very useful. In fact, these structures collect and process samples from volunteer donors, accurately selected and screened, to provide BM also to such a vulnerable category of newborns. To avoid viral transmission through this nutrition route, potentially regarding also CMV, donor human milk must undergo long-term pasteurization; moreover, bacterial cultures performed before than the pasteurization must reveal no growth of pathogenic organisms (such as S aureus, group B Streptococcus, lactose-fermenting coliforms), no more than 100 000 colony-forming units/mL of normal skin bacteria and no viable bacteria after pasteurization and alternative treatments should not be recommended.

Currently, US donor milk banks refer to the Human Milk Banking Association of North America and BM is processed according to the US Food and Drug Administration and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines [124].

# CONCLUSION

BM is the best available source of nutrition for newborns, especially for the vulnerable category of prematures [8].

Post-natal acquired CMV infection is frequent among preterms and can be very compromising for the newborn shortand maybe long-term outcome. Therefore, it would be very important to perform efficacious methods to avoid CMV transmission *via* BM [8].

The studies and results mentioned in this review, however, show some limits. It should be taken into account that not all the methods to detect CMV show the same efficacy, also depending, *i.e.*, on the available PCR kits. Moreover, differences can be also related to the analyzed samples [23, 128].

The two strategies that must be improved to avoid CMV transmission are, currently, a reduction of maternal rate of infection during pregnancy and an optimization of the strategies to inactivate the virus in BM (such as pasteurization, freezing and irradiation) [15, 42].

It is well known that pasteurization modifies BM components; therefore, also its impact on newborn outcome should be evaluated [1]. Moreover, beneficial effects of BM freezing and irradiation should be deeply understood and also its effects on BM properties and even on newborn's outcome [23].

According to the most recent evidence, fresh BM could be administered only in newborns showing more than 32 weeks of GA or weighting more than 1000 gr. In case of more preterm neonates, BM should undergo pasteurization after the first 3 days of lactation in case of maternal positivity for CMV-IgG. However, in our opinion and taking into account the results presented above, neither colostrum can be considered so safe and should not be administered without treatment.

In the next future, the clarification of the real impact of CMV acquired infection on preterm's outcome, especially regarding neurological sequelae, would be desirable [15].

For example, innovative techniques such as metabolomics could improve the approach to mother to child-transmitted infection.

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- AF = Amniotic Fluid
- BM = Breast Milk
- BDP = Bronchodysplasia
- CNS = Central Nervous System
- CP = Cerebral Palsy
- CMV = Cytomegalovirus
- DNA = Deoxyribonucleic acid

ELBW =	Extremely Low Birth Weight	
GC-MS=	Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry	
GA =	Gestational Age	
HIV =	Human Immunodeficiency Virus	
IQ =	Intelligence Quotient	
IVH =	Intraventricular Hemorrage	
LBW =	Low Birth Weight	
NEC =	Necrotizing Enterocolitis	
NICU =	Neonatal Intensive Care Unit	
PVL =	Periventricular Leucomalacia	
PCR =	Polymerase Chain Reaction	
ROP =	Prematurity-related Retinopathy	
rtPCR =	Real Time C-reactive Proteins	
RNA =	Ribonucleic acid	
UV-C =	Ultraviolet- C	
WKS =	Weeks	

#### **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

Flaminia Bardanzellu: preparing the manuscript, review of the literature. Vassilios Fanos: a review of the literature, final approval. Alessandra Reali: review of the literature, final approval.

# **CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION**

Not applicable.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Declared none.

#### REFERENCES

- Yoo HS, Sung SI, Jung YJ, Lee MS, Han YM, Ahn SY, Chang YS, Park WS. Prevention of cytomegalovirus transmission *via* breast milk in extremely low birth weight infants. Yonsei Med J 2005; 56: 998-1006.
- [2] Lawrence RA. The evidence for growth standards and iron in moderation and exclusive breastfeeding. Breastfeed Med 2006; 1: 205-6.
- [3] Hamprecht K, Maschmann J, Jahn G, Poets CF, Goelz R. Cytomegalovirus transmission to preterm infants during lactation. J Clin Virol 2008; 41: 198-205.
- [4] Vohr BR, Poindexter BB, Dusick AM, et al. Persistent beneficial effects of breast milk ingested in the neonatal intensive care unit on outcomes of extremely low birth weight infants at 30 months of age. Pediatrics 2007; 120: 953-9.
- [5] American Academy of Pediatrics. Breastfeeding and the use of human milk Pediatrics 2012; 129: 827-841.
- [6] Romero-Gomez MP, Cabrera M, Montes-Bueno MT, et al. Evaluation of cytomegalovirus infection in low-birth weight children by breast milk using a real-time polymerase chain reaction assay. J Med Virol 2015; 87: 845-50.

- Bardanzellu F, Fanos V, Reali A. "Omics" in human colostrum and mature milk: Looking to old data with new eyes. Nutrients 2017; 9: 83.
- [8] Jim WT, Chiu NC, Ho CS, et al. Outcome of preterm infants with postnatal Cytomegalovirus infection via breast milk. Medicine 2015; 94: e1835.
- [9] Victora CG, Horta BL, Loret de Mola C, et al. Association between breastfeeding and intelligence, educational attainment, and income at 30 years of age: A prospective birth cohort study from Brazil. Lancet Glob Health 2015; 3: e199-205.
- [10] Bryant P, Morley C, Garland S, Curtis N. Cytomegalovirus transmission from breast milk in premature babies: Does it matter? Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2002; 87: F75-F77.
- [11] Gartner LM, Auerbach KG. Breast milk and breastfeeding jaundice. Adv Pediatr 1987; 34: 249-274.
- [12] Dougherty D, Luther M. Birth to breast-a feeding care map for the NICU: helping the extremely low birth weight infant navigate the course. Neonatal Netw 2008; 27: 371-7.
- [13] Jahn G. Epidemiology of transmission of cytomegalovirus from mother to preterm infant by breastfeeding. Lancet 2001; 357: 513-8.
- [14] Omarsdottir S, Casper C, Zweygberg Wirgart B, Grillner L, Vanpee M. Transmission of cytomegalovirus to extremely preterm infants through breast milk. Acta Paediatr 2007; 96: 492-494.
- [15] Pass RF, Anderson B. Mother-to-child transmission of cytomegalovirus and prevention of congenital infection. J Ped Infect Dis Soc 2014; 3: 2-6.
- [16] Hamprecht K, Goelz R. Postnatal cytomegalovirus infection through human milk in preterm infants transmission, clinical presentation, and prevention. Clin Perinatol 2017; 44: 121-130.
- [17] Schleiss MR. Congenital cytomegalovirus infection: Molecular mechanisms mediating viral pathogenesis. Infect Disord Drug Targets 2011; 11: 449-65.
- [18] Manicklal S, Emery VC, Lazzarotto T, Boppana SB, Gupta RK. The 'Silent' Global burden of congenital cytomegalovirus. Clin Microbiol Rev 2013; 26: 86.
- [19] Lee HC, Enright A, Benitz WE, Madan A. Postnatal cytomegalovirus infection from frozen breast milk in preterm, low birth weight infants. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2007; 26: 276.
- [20] Chiavarini M, Bragetti P, Sensini A. et al. Breastfeeding and transmission of cytomegalovirus to preterm infants. Case report and kinetic of CMV-DNA in breast milk. Ital J Pediatr 2011; 37: 6.
- [21] Kurath S, Halwachs-Baumann G, Müller W, Resch B. Transmission of cytomegalovirus *via* breast milk to the prematurely born infant: a systematic review. Clin Microbiol Infect 2010; 16: 1172-8.
- [22] Dollard S, Grosse SD, Ross DS. New estimates of the prevalence of neurological and sensory sequelae and mortality associated with congenital cytomegalovirus infection. Rev Med Virol 2007; 17: 355-63.
- [23] Balcells C, Botet F, Gayete S, *et al.* Vertically transmitted cytomegalovirus infection in newborn preterm infants. J Perinat Med 2016; 44: 485-90.
- [24] Ross SA, Boppana SB. Congenital cytomegalovirus infection: Outcome and diagnosis. Semin Pediatr Infect Dis 2005; 16: 44–9.
- [25] Bae CW. Maternal diseases and breastfeeding. Hanyang Med Rev 2010; 3: 60-7.
- [26] Vochem M, Hamprecht K, Jahn G, Speer CP. Transmission of cytomegalovirus to preterm infants through breast milk. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1998; 17: 53-8.
- [27] Hamprecht K, Witzel S, Maschmann J, et al. Rapid detection and quantification of cell free cytomegalovirus by a high-speed centrifugation-based microculture assay: Comparison to longitudinally analyzed viral DNA load and pp67 late transcript during lactation. J Clin Virol 2003; 28: 303-16.
- [28] Hamprecht K, Maschmann J, Muller D, et al. Cytomegalovirus (CMV) inactivation in breast milk: Reassessment of pasteurization and freeze-thawing. Pediatr Res 2004; 56: 529-35.
- [29] Hayes K, Danks DM, Gibas H, Jack I. Cytomegalovirus in human milk. N Engl J Med 1972; 287: 177-8.
- [30] Reynolds DW, Stagno S, Hosty TS, Tiller M, Alford CA. Maternal cytomegalovirus excretion and perinatal infection. N Engl J Med 1973; 289: 1-5.
- [31] Hamprecht K, Vochem M, Baumeister A, Boniek M, Speer CP, Jahn G. Detection of cytomegaloviral DNA in human milk cells and cell free milk whey by nested PCR. J Virol Methods 1998; 70: 167-76.

- [32] Stagno S, Reynolds DW, Pass RF, Alford CA. Breast milk and the risk of cytomegalovirus infection. N Engl J Med 1980; 302: 1073-6.
- [33] Dworsky M, Yow M, Stagno S, Pass RF, Alford C. Cytomegalovirus infection of breast milk and transmission in infancy. Pediatrics 1983; 72: 295-9.
- [34] Boeckh M, Boivin G. Quantitation of cytomegalovirus: Methodologic aspects and clinical applications. Clin Microbiol Rev 1998; 11: 533-54.
- [35] Ahlfors K, Ivarsson SA. Cytomegalovirus in breast milk of Swedish milk donors. Scand J Infect Dis 1985; 17: 11-13.
- [36] Benson JW, Bodden SJ, Tobin JO. Cytomegalovirus and blood transfusion in neonates. Arch Dis Child 1979; 54: 538-41.
- [37] Yeager AS, Grumet FC, Hafleigh EB, Arvin AM, Bradley JS, Prober CG. Prevention of transfusion acquired cytomegalovirus infections in newborn infants. J Pediatr 1981; 98: 281-7.
- [38] Stagno S, Pass RF, Dworsky ME, Alford CA. Maternal cytomegalovirus infection and perinatal transmission. Clin Obstet Gynecol 1982: 25: 563-76.
- [39] Griffiths PD, Baboonian C. A prospective study of primary cytomegalovirus infection during pregnancy: Final report. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1984; 91: 307-15.
- [40] Leurez-Ville M, Sellier Y, Salomon LJ, Stirnemann JJ, Jacquernard F, Wille Y. Prediction of fetal infection in cases with cytomegalovirus immunoglobulin M in the first trimester of pregnancy: A retrospective cohort. Clin Infect Dis 2013; 56: 1428-35.
- [41] Gindes L, Teperberg-Oikawa M, Pardo J, Rahav G. Congenital cytomegalovirus infection following primary maternal infection in the third trimester. B J O G 2008; 115: 830-5.
- [42] Pass RF, Zhang C, Evans A, et al. Vaccine prevention of maternal cytomegalovirus infection. N Engl J Med 2009; 360: 1191-9.
- [43] Liesnard C, Donner C, Brancart F, Gosselin F, Delforge ML, Rodesch F. Prenatal diagnosis of congenital cytomegalovirus infection: Prospective study of 237 pregnancies at risk. Obstet Gynecol 2000; 95: 881-8.
- [44] Preece PM, Pearl KN, Peckham CS. Congenital cytomegalovirus infection. Arch Dis Child 1984; 59: 1120-6.
- [45] Lanzieri TM, Dollard SC, Josephson CD, Schmid TS, Bialek SR. Breast milk-acquired cytomegalovirus infection and disease in VLBW and premature infants. Pediatrics 2013; 131: 1937-45.
- [46] Nijman J, de Vries LS, Koopman-Esseboom C, Uiterwaal CS, van Loon AM, Verboon-Maciolek MA. Postnatally acquired cytomegalovirus infection in preterm infants: A prospective study on risk factors and cranial ultrasound findings. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2012; 97: F259-63.
- [47] Maschmann J, Hamprecht K, Dietz K, Jahn G, Speer CP. Cytomegalovirus infection of extremely low-birth weight infants via breast milk. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 33: 1998-2003.
- [48] Nijman J, van Loon AM, de Vries LS, et al. Urine viral load and correlation with disease severity in infants with congenital or postnatal cytomegalovirus infection. J Clin Virol 2012; 54: 121-4.
- [49] Hayashi S, Kimura H, Oshiro M, et al. Transmission of cytomegalovirus via breast milk in extremely premature infants. J Perinatol 2011; 31: 440-5.
- [50] Okulu E, Akin IM, Atasay B, Ciftçi E, Arsan S, Türmen T. Severe postnatal cytomegalovirus infection with multisystem involvement in an extremely low birth weight infant. J Perinatol 2012; 32: 72-4.
- [51] Josephson CD, Caliendo AM, Easley KA, et al. Blood transfusion and breast milk transmission of cytomegalovirus in very low-birthweight infants: A prospective cohort study. JAMA Pediatr 2014; 168: 1054-62.
- [52] Jim WT, Shu CH, Chiu NC, et al. Transmission of cytomegalovirus from mothers to preterm infants by breast milk. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2004; 23: 845-51.
- [53] Kenneson A, Cannon MJ. Review and meta-analysis of the epidemiology of congenital cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection. Rev Med Virol 2007; 17: 253-76.
- [54] Hotsubo T, Nagata N, Shimada M, Yoshida K, Fujinaga K, Chiba S. Detection of human cytomegalovirus DNA in breast milk by means of polymerase chain reaction. Microbiol Immunol 1994; 38: 809-11.
- [55] Meier J, Lienicke U, Tschirch E, Kruger DH, Wauer RR, Prösch S. Human cytomegalovirus reactivation during lactation and motherto-child transmission in preterm infants. J Clin Microbiol 2005; 43: 1318–24.

- [56] Numazaki K, Chiba S, Asanuma H. Transmission of cytomegalovirus. Lancet 2001; 357: 1799-1800.
- [57] Mosca F, Pugni L, Barbi M, Binda S. Transmission of cytomegalovirus. Lancet 2001; 357: 1800.
- [58] Hamprecht K, Maschmann J, Vochem M, Speer CP, Jahn G. Transmission of cytomegalovirus to preterm infants by breastfeeding. In: Prösch S, Cinatl, J.; Scholz, M.; editors. New aspects of CMV-related immunopathology, 24. Basel (Switzerland): Karger P 2003; 43-52.
- [59] Yasuda A, Kimura H, Hayakawa M, et al. Evaluation of cytomegalovirus infections transmitted via breast milk in preterm infants with a real-time polymerase chain reaction assay. Pediatrics 2003; 111: 1333-6.
- [60] Schanler RJ. Suitability of human milk for the low-birth weight infant. Clin Perinatol 1995; 22: 207-22.
- [61] Maschmann J, Hamprecht K, Weissbrich B, Dietz K, Jahn G, Speer CP. Freeze-thawing of breast milk does not prevent cytomegalovirus transmission to a preterm infant. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2006; 91: F288-90.
- [62] Clarke NM, May JT. Effect of antimicrobial factors in human milk on rhinoviruses and milk-borne cytomegalovirus in vitro. J Med Microbiol 2000; 49: 719-23.
- [63] Asanuma H, Numazaki K, Nagata N, Hotsubo T, Horino K, Chiba S. Role of milk whey in the transmission of human cytomegalovirus infection by breast milk. Microbiol Immunol 1996; 40: 201-4.
- [64] Ehlinger EP, Webster EM, Kang HH, et al. Maternal cytomegalovirus-specific immune responses and symptomatic postnatal cytomegalovirus transmission in very low-birth-weight preterm infants. J Infect Dis 2011; 204: 1672-82.
- [65] Clarke LM, Durr A, Feldman J, Sierra MF, Daidone BJ, Landesman SH. Factors associated with cytomegalovirus infection among human immunodeficiency virus type 1-seronegative and seropositive women from an urban minority community. J Infect Dis 1996; 173: 77-82.
- [66] Roxby AC, Atkinson C, Asbjornsdottir K, et al. Maternal valacyclovir and infant cytomegalovirus acquisition: A randomized controlled trial among HIV-infected women. PLoS One 2014; 9: 87855.
- [67] Sagar M. Origin of the transmitted virus in HIV infection: infected cells versus cell free virus. J Infect Dis 2014; 210: S667-73.
- [68] Viljoen J, Tuaillon E, Nagot N, et al. Cytomegalovirus, and possibly Epstein–Barr virus, shedding in breast milk is associated with HIV-1 transmission by breastfeeding. AIDS 2015; 29: 145-53.
- [69] Kovacs A, Schluchter M, Easley K, et al. Cytomegalovirus infection and HIV-1 disease progression in infants born to HIV-1infected women. N Engl J Med 1999; 341: 77-84.
- [70] Slyker J, Farquhar C, Atkinson C, et al. Compartmentalized cytomegalovirus replication and transmission in the setting of maternal HIV-1 infection. Clin Infect Dis 2014; 58: 564-72.
- [71] Peckham CS, Johnson C, Ades A, Pearl K, Chin KS. Early acquisition of cytomegalovirus infection. Arch Dis Child 1987; 62: 780-5.
- [72] Minamishima I, Ueda K, Minematsu T, et al. Role of breast milk in acquisition of cytomegalovirus infection. Microbiol Immunol 1994; 38: 549-52.
- [73] Capretti MG, Lanari M, Lazzarotto T, et al. Very low birth weight infants born to cytomegalovirus-seropositive mothers fed with their mother's milk: A prospective study. J Pediatr 2009; 154: 842-48.
- [74] Richter D, Hampl W, Pohlandt F. Vertical transmission of cytomegalovirus, most probably by breast milk, to an infant with Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome with fatal outcome. Eur J Pediatr 1997; 156: 854-5.
- [75] Stagno S, Brasfield DM, Brown MB, et al. Infant pneumonitis associated with cytomegalovirus, Chlamydia, Pneumocystis, and Ureaplasma: a prospective study. Pediatrics 1981; 68: 322-9.
- [76] Ballard RA, Drew WL, Hufnagle KG, Riedel PA. Acquired cytomegalovirus infection in preterm infants. Am J Dis Child 1979; 133: 482-5.
- [77] Hamprecht K, Goeiz R, Maschmann J. Breast milk and cytomegalovirus infection in preterm infants. Early Hum Dev 2005; 81: 989-996.
- [78] Pietrasanta C, Ghirardi B, Manca MF, et al. Herpesviruses and breast milk. Pediatr Med Chir 2014; 36: 5.
- [79] Jim WT, Shu CH, Chiu NC, et al. High cytomegalovirus load and prolonged virus excretion in breast milk increase risk for viral acquisition by very low birth weight infants. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2009; 28: 891-94.

- [80] Schleiss MR. Acquisition of human cytomegalovirus infection in infants via breast milk: natural immunization or cause for concern? Rev Med Virol 2006; 16: 73-82.
- [81] Numazaki K. Human cytomegalovirus infection of breast milk. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. 1997; 18: 91-8.
- [82] Doctor S, Friedman S, Dunn MS, et al. Cytomegalovirus transmission to extremely low-birthweight infants through breast milk. Acta Paediatr 2005; 94: 53-8.
- [83] Cheong JL, Cowan FM, Modi N. Gastrointestinal manifestations of postnatal cytomegalovirus infection in infants admitted to a neonatal intensive care unit over a five year period. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2004; 89: F367-9.
- [84] Sawyer MH, Edwards DK, Spector SA. Cytomegalovirus infection and bronchopulmonary dysplasia in premature infants. Am J Dis Child 1987; 141: 303-5.
- [85] Kelly MS, Benjamin DK, Puopolo KM, et al. Postnatal cytomegalovirus infection and the risk for bronchopulmonary dysplasia. JAMA Pediatr 2015; 169: 153785.
- [86] Lopes AA, Belhabri S, Karaoui L. Clinical findings and autopsy of a preterm infant with breast milk-acquired cytomegalovirus infection. AJP Rep 2016; 6: 198-202.
- [87] Wakabayashi H, Mizuno K, Kohda C, et al. Low HCMV DNA copies can establish infection and result in significant symptoms in extremely preterm infants: A prospective study. Am J Perinatol 2012; 29: 377-82.
- [88] Mehler K, Oberthuer A, Lang-Roth R, et al. High rate of symptomatic cytomegalovirus infection in extremely low gestational age preterm infants of 22-24 weeks' gestation after transmission via breast milk. Neonatology 2014; 105: 27-32.
- [89] Hamele M, Flanagan R, Loomis CA, Stevens T, Fairchok MP. Severe morbidity and mortality with breast milk associated cytomegalovirus infection. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2010; 29: 84-6.
- [90] Goelz R, Hihn E, Hamprecht K, *et al.* Effects of different CMVheat-inactivation methods on growth factors in human breast milk. Pediatr Res 2009; 65: 458-61.
- [91] Miron D, Brosilow S, Felszer K, et al. Incidence and clinical manifestations of breast milk-acquired cytomegalovirus infection in low birth weight infants. J Perinatol 2005; 25: 299-303.
- [92] Baquero-Artigao F. Grupo de estudio de la infección congénita por citomegalovirus de la Sociedad Española de Infectología Pediátrica. Consensus document from the Spanish Society of Paediatric Infectious Diseases (SEIP) on the diagnosis and treatment of congenital cytomegalovirus infection An Pediatr (Barc) 2009; 71: 535-47.
- [93] Reina J, Weber I, Riera E, Busquets M, Morales C. Usefulness of a real-time quantitative Polymerase-Chain Reaction (PCR) assay for the diagnosis of congenital and postnatal cytomegalovirus infection. An Pediatr (Barc) 2014; 80: 299-303.
- [94] Klein JO, Wilson CB, Nizet V, Maldonado YA. Infectious Diseases of the Fetus and Newborn Infant. 7th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier. 2011.
- [95] Peila C, Moro G.E, Bertino E, et al. The effect of Holder pasteurization on nutrients and biologically-active components in donor human milk: A review. Nutrients 2016; 8: 477.
- [96] Lloyd ML, Hod N, Jayaraman J, et al. Inactivation of cytomegalovirus in breast milk using ultraviolet-C irradiation: opportunities for a new treatment option in breast milk banking. PLoS One 2016; 11:0161116.
- [97] Dworsky M, Stagno S, Pass RF, Cassady G, Alford C. Persistence of cytomegalovirus in human milk after storage. J Pediatr 1982; 101: 440-3.
- [98] Ford JE, Law BA, Marshall VM, Reiter B. Influence of the heat treatment of human milk on some of its protective constituents. J Pediatr 1977; 90: 29-35.
- [99] Evans TJ, Ryley HC, Neale LM, Dodge JA, Lewarne VM. Effect of storage and heat on antimicrobial proteins in human milk. Arch Dis Child 1978; 53: 239-41.
- [100] Goldblum RM, Dill CW, Albrecht TB, Alford ES, Garza C, Goldman AS. Rapid high-temperature treatment of human milk. J Pediatr 1984; 104: 380-5.
- [101] Curtis N, Chau L, Garland S, Tabrizi S, Alexander R, Morley CJ. Cytomegalovirus remains viable in naturally infected breast milk despite being frozen for 10 days. Arch Dis.Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2005; 90: F529-30.
- [102] Hosseini M, Esmaili HA, Abdoli OS, et al. Evaluation of the freeze-thawing method in reducing viral load of Cytomegalovirus

in breast milk of mothers of preterm infants. Breastfeed Med 2016; 11: 557-60.

- [103] Stronati M, Lombardi G, Di Comite A, Fanos V. Breastfeeding and cytomegalovirus infections. J Chemother 2007; 19: 49-51.
- [104] Ben-Shoshan M, Mandel D, Lubetzky R, Dollberg S, Mimouni FB. Eradication of cytomegalovirus from human milk by microwave irradiation: A pilot study. Breastfeed Med 2016; 11: 186-7.
- [105] Tacken KJ, Vogelsang A, van Lingen RA, Slootstra J, Dikkeschei B.D, van Zoeren-Grobben D. Loss of triglycerides and carotenoids in human milk after processing. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2009; 94: F447-F445.
- [106] Friis H, Andersen HK. Rate of inactivation of cytomegalovirus in raw banked milk during storage at -20 degrees C and pasteurisation. Br Med J (Clin. Res. Ed.) 1982; 285: 1604-5.
- [107] Ewaschuk JB, Unger S, O'Connor DL, et al. Effect of pasteurization on selected immune components of donated human breast milk. J Perinatol 2011; 31: 593-8.
- [108] Forsgren M. Cytomegalovirus in breast milk: Reassessment of pasteurization and freeze-thawing. Pediatr Res 2004; 56: 526-8.
- [109] Mussi-Pinhata MM, Yamamoto AY, do Carmo Rego MA, Pinto PC, da Motta MS, Calixto C. Perinatal or early-postnatal cytomegalovirus infection in preterm infants under 34 weeks gestation born to CMV-seropositive mothers within a high-seroprevalence population. J Pediatr 2004; 145: 685-8.
- [110] Kumar M, Nankervis GA, Jacobs IB. Congenitally and postnatally acquired cytomegalovirus infections: long-term follow-up. J Pediatr 1984; 104: 674-9.
- [111] Granstrom M, Leinikki P, Santavuori P, Pettay O. Perinatal cytomegalovirus infection in man. Arch Dis Child 1977; 52: 354-9.
- [112] Paryani SG, Yeager AS, Hosford-Dunn H, et al. Sequelae of acquired Cytomegalovirus infection in premature and sick term infants. J Pediatr 1985; 107: 451-6.
- [113] Bevot A, Hamprecht K, Krageloh-Mann I, Brosch S, Goelz R, Vollmer B. Long-term outcome in preterm children with human cytomegalovirus infection transmitted *via* breast milk. Acta Paediatric 2012; 101: e167-72.
- [114] Vollmer B, Seibold-Weiger K, Schmitz-Salue C, et al. Postnatally acquired cytomegalovirus infection via breast milk: Effects on hearing and development in preterm infants. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2004; 23: 322-7.
- [115] Luck S, Sharland M. Postnatal cytomegalovirus: Innocent bystander or hidden problem? Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2009; 94: F58-F64.
- [116] Goeltz R, Meisner C, Bevot A, Hamprecht K, Kraegeloh-Mann I, Poets CF. Long-term cognitive and neurological outcome of pre-

term infants with postnatally acquired CMV infection through breast milk. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal 2013; 98: 430-3.

- [117] Brecht KF, Goelz R, Bevot A, Krageloh-Mann I, Wilke M, Lidzba K. Postnatal human cytomegalovirus infection in preterm infants has long term neuropsychological sequelae. J Pediatr 2015; 166: 834-9.
- [118] Nijman J, Gunkel J, de Vries LS, *et al.* Reduced occipital fractional anisotropy on cerebral diffusion tensor imaging in preterm infants with postnatally acquired cytomegalovirus infection. Neonatology2013; 104: 143-50.
- [119] Dorn M, Lidzba K, Bevot A, Goelz R, Hauser TK, Wilke M. Longterm neurobiological consequences of early postnatal hCMV infection in former preterms: A functional MRI study. Hum Brain Mapp 2014; 35: 2594-606.
- [120] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Preventing Congenital CMV Infection Available at: http://www.cdc. gov/cmv/prevention. html.2011.
- [121] Barberini L, Noto A, Saba L, et al. Multivariate data validation for investigating primary HCMV infection in pregnancy. Data in Brief 2016; 9: 220-30.
- [122] Fattuoni C, Palmas F, Noto A, et al. Primary HCMV infection in pregnancy from classic data towards metabolomics: An exploratory analysis. Clin Chim Acta 2016; 460: 23-32.
- [123] Fanos V, Atzori L, Makarenko K, Melis GB, Ferrazzi E. Metabolomics application in maternal-fetal medicine. Biomed Res Internat 2013; Article ID 720514.
- [124] Kimberlin DW, Brady MT, Jackson MA. Red Book: Report of the committee on infectious diseases, 31<sup>st</sup> edition. AAP committee on infectious diseases 2018; 117-20.
- [125] Alarcón Allen A, Baquero-Artigao F, Grupo de estudio de la infección por citomegalovirus de la Sociedad Espanola de Infectología Pediátrica. Revisión y recomendaciones sobre la prevención, diagnóstico y tratamiento de la infección posnatal por citomegalovirus. An Pediatr (Barc) 2011; 74: 52.e1-13.
- [126] Omarsdottir S, Casper C, Navér L, et al.. Cytomegalovirus Infection and Neonatal Outcome in Extremely Preterm Infants After Freezing of Maternal Milk. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2015; 34: 482-9.
- [127] Picaud JC, Buffin R, Gremmo-Feger G, Rigo J, Putet G, Casper C, Working group of the French Neonatal Society on fresh human milk use in preterm infants. Review concludes that specific recommendations are needed to harmonise the provision of fresh mother's milk to their preterm infants. Acta Paediatrica 2018; 107: 1145-55.
- [128] Binnicker MJ, Espy ME. Comparison of six real-time PCR assays for qualitative detection of cytomegalovirus in clinical specimens. J Clin Microbiol 2013; 51: 3749-52.